

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,443	06/29/2001	Michael Gmachl	0652.2310001/EKS/SEZ	6378
26111 75	590 03/09/2004		EXAMINER	
	SSLER, GOLDSTEIN	YAEN, CHRISTOPHER H		
	1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			PAPER NUMBER
	,		1642	

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/893,443	GMACHL ET AL.				
navioury nousin	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher H Yaen	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 20 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension						
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>20 June 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112, 2 nd paragraph.						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: 62-83.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>28-33,35,39-41,46-53,55 and 59-61</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
0.□ Other:						
GARY NICKOL						
	PRIMARY EXAMINER	Christopher Yaen Art Unit 1642				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments presented to overcome the 103 obviousness rejection is not deemed persuasive. The references combined teach a method of screening compounds that inihibt ubiquitination of a substrate with the use of an E3 complex alone. Lorick et al and Zachariae et al both suggest that RING finger proteins are important and critical featuress of the E3 complex and that in the absence of such RING finger proteins, ubiquitination reaction was improper. Because it was taught the APC11 was the only known E3 protein to comprise RING fingers, it therefore must be critical. Thus the ubiquitination rection is dependent on the APC11, and in its absence the reaction will not occure properly. Therefore, one or ordianry skill in the art would find it obvious to use only the functional aspect, namely APC11 in the method of Kirschner in combination with E1 and E2 proteins of Gonen and Hatfield et al .

BEST AVAILABLE COPY